

## The bottom line ...

- The Android API is designed for professionals
- Most basic tasks require framework glue:
- Anonymous inner classes
   Adapters
- Extra levels of indirection
- Type casting, dynamic type checking, instance checking
- All because the API uses well-known techniques that have been around for decades

## Android's app lifecycle adds

complications, too ...

- Android apps can be removed from memory at nearly any time
- Users can switch between applications at any time, possibly never to come back
- Control flow between multiple "screens" of an app requires callbacks and indirection
  - Simple models from window-based desktop applications don't work
  - Neither do naïve student models of understanding



## We've been working on Sofia

- <u>Simplified Open Framework for Inventive Android</u> applications
- A better Android API
- Doesn't just simplify development
- Better abstractions
- Professional quality



## At Virginia Tech

- Successful CS2 Integration
  - Past five semesters
- Students clearly motivated, engaged
- Testing and automated grading support (Web-CAT)
- □ We've also pushed into CS1
  - Past two semesters
  - Using a customized version of Greenfoot where all applications also run as Android applications

## A sampling of assignments

## In CS1: In CS2: Scavenger Hunt Adventure Time! Maze Runner Maze Solver Invasion of the Greeps Mine Sweeper Battleship Guitar Synthesizer Foxes and Rabbits "Design Your Own" App Asteroids Build Your Own Game

## Sofia's design goals

- Not just simpler, but better
   For beginners and pros alike
- Principle of least astonishment (POLA)
- Convention over configuration
- Don't repeat yourself (DRY)
- Use strong typing smartly
- Extra flexibility through annotations
- □ <u>It just works</u> (IJW)

## How?

- Combine the best ideas from earlier beginnerfriendly frameworks
  - Objectdraw
  - JTF
- Testing
- With new framework design strategies
  - A new event dispatch model
  - Coding by convention
  - Fluent interfaces

## Areas of focused improvements

- Simplified beginner programs
- Approachable graphics/drawing
- Collision detection and physics
- Declarative animation support
- Cleaner multi-activity communication
- Employing multi-touch and gestures
- Common app models (CRUD)
- "Micro world" modeling

## Simplifying intro programs Can Hello World look like this? public class HelloWorldDemo extends ShapeScreen { public void initialize() { add(new TextShape("Hello world", 180, 150)); }











# What does this have to do with event dispatch?

- Most of the Android API is designed for pros, not beginners
- Event listeners are ubiquitous, but require several non-beginner language features
- "Events" aren't hard for beginners—it is the language features around them

# In its simplest form, think of the Observer design pattern

🗆 In Java:

public interface Observer

void update(Observable o, Object arg);

# In Swing, a MouseListener is a good example

# public interface MouseListener { void mouseClicked(MouseEvent e); void mousePressed(MouseEvent e);

- void mouseReleased(MouseEvent e); void mouseEntered(MouseEvent e);
  - void mouseExited(MouseEvent e);

}

## In Java, interfaces are typical

- This provides compile-time advantages
- Presence of handling method(s) on receiver is checked statically
- Normal method invocation syntax
- Leverages polymorphism

## ... But there are disadvantages

- Receiver may not need/want all of the handling methods in the interface
- The interface must use more general types for parameters, to support all possible handlers
- Only one fixed entry point for each handling method is supported

## An aside about other models

- Objective-C (and Smalltalk before it) uses a dynamic method lookup technique: avoids some of the disadvantages, but also some advantage
- Delegates in C# are in between, avoiding some of the disadvantages while trying to keep the advantages

# Let's look at an example









# Place all the dispatch in the framework

- Use reflection to locate handler methods on first use
- Use compiler-like inheritance search and overload resolution to identify the best match on the receiver
- Cache handlers for better performance
- Leverage strong typing to simplify and clean up the design (POLA, coding by convention, IJW)



## This is a "type-centric" approach

- Because dispatch choices are driven by the types of the object(s) involved
- We're using Java's type system to drive the method search, even though the search is dynamic

## ... With advantages

- Only provide the handlers you need
- Only provide them where you need them
- No interface to implement
- No empty method stubs
- No adapters needed
- No extra "glue" to write by hand

## ... And another advantage

 Use the specific parameter type that is most appropriate for your situation
 Not forced to use the most general type
 No instanceof tests needed
 No downcasts needed

## ... And another ...

- Can have multiple handlers on the same receiver for different types of arguments
   No instanceof tests needed
  - No "internal dispatch code" needed
  - No anonymous inner classes needed

## Disadvantages

### Performance

- Reflective dispatch is more costly than standard method invocation
- $\blacksquare$  Other search/lookup costs can be minimized
- Gives up static checks against an interface to confirm handler methods are present
   Still fully type-safe, however

Let's talk!

## Additional considerations

- Handling multi-object events
- Handling multiple receivers (or multiple handling methods)
- Supporting alternative parameter choices, instead of simply subtyping
- Providing additional name flexibility

## 

## Multiple receivers work the same

- Dispatch to all, allowing each handler to preempt the remaining ones with a boolean return value
- Void methods can be used too (indicating no preemption)

## Alternative parameter choices

public class Paddle extends RectangleShape
{
 ...
 public void onTouchMove(MotionEvent e)
 {
 ...
 }
 public class Paddle extends RectangleShape
{
 ...
 public void onTouchMove(int x, int y)
 {
 ...
 }
}

}



















<pre>public class TipCalculatorScreen extends Screen {      // Called when "done" or "enter" is pressed     // in the billAmountEditingDone()     (         float amount = 0.0f;         try</pre>	Tp: caluadate         24.80           Amount:         24.80           ③ 13%         ③ 20%           ① 20%         3.72           Total:         28.52

When the model changes			
<pre>public class TipCalculatorScreen extends Screen {      // Called when the model changes     public void homegweasObserved(TipModel theTipModel)     (         String tipAmountString = String.format(</pre>	Tip cetrubte Amount: Tip: Total:	i ∎t 102 24.80 ● 15% ○ 20% 3.72 28.52	







Let's look at a list-driven app		
<pre>PdpticeLuss HediaListScreen extends ListScreen<medial< td=""><td>tem&gt;           Image: Contract of the second second</td></medial<></pre>	tem>           Image: Contract of the second	



















- Eclipse and the Android SDK is not the ideal place to start them off
- □ Need:
  - Simple, graphical programming tasks
- In a no-experience-necessary environment
- With direct visual feedback
- That is still Android-compatible

## The details

- sofia.micro: A Sofia-based package that supports micro-world applications, layered on top of basic 2D shape support
- □ An open-source fork of Greenfoot
- Completely reimplemented the sofia.micro core under Swing
- Result: Greenfoot4Sofia uses Sofia's API for microworld applications, but apps are retargetable to Android



## Enhancements to "stock" Greenfoot

- Electronic project submission for grading
- Unit testing support
- Support for scenario-specific library classes that are not provided in source form
- Event-driven programming for user interaction
- Support for sequential logic solutions when desired, instead of purely cell-automata-like approach

















